

Practitioner's Docket No. U 015014-8

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 02 2006

In re application of: Maria Del Pilar NORIEGA ESCOBAR, et al.
Application No.: 10/780,290

Group No.:

Filed: February 17, 2004

Examiner:

For: METHOD AND DEVICE TO VISUALIZE IN-LINE AND QUANTIFY THE POLYMER
MELTING IN PLASTICATING SCREW MACHINES WITHOUT SIGNIFICANTLY
AFFECTING ITS THERMAL REGIME.Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

WARNING: Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.1704(c)(10). See Notice of May 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26, 2001.1. More than 28 months have passed since☒ NEW APPLICATIONS
the filing of this application on February 17, 2004
No communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating action on this application.☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS
the filing of a response on _____
No further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark Office.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(p)

☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office to (571)-273-8300Date: August 2, 2006

Signature

William R. Evans

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

☐ APPEALED APPLICATION

The Appeal Brief was filed on _____

(check and complete applicable items below)

☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on _____☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on _____☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS

the mailing of FORM POI-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on _____

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. **A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.**

NOTE: *A.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions us to the submission of status inquiries as follows:*

NEW APPLICATION

Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

AMENDED APPLICATIONS

Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to the Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.113.

Reg. No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

William R. Evans, 25858, (212) 708-1930
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.: ()

P.O. Address

Customer No.:

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
26 West 61st Street
New York, N. Y. 10023

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 02 2006

APPLICATION SERIAL NO. _____ IS CURRENTLY

- ☐ ASSIGNED TO GROUP _____ AND AWAITS:
 - ☐ ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
 - ☐ APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED

APPEAL NO. _____

- ☐ IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
 - ☐ DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED _____.
 - ☐ DECISION EXPECTED _____.